ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA186648 01/15/2008

Filing date:

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91176139
Party	Plaintiff V&V Supremo Foods, Inc.
Correspondence Address	Thomas M. Williams Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60610 UNITED STATES officeactions@usebrinks.com
Submission	Motion for Sanctions
Filer's Name	Thomas M. Williams
Filer's e-mail	officeactions@usebrinks.com
Signature	/Thomas M. Williams/
Date	01/15/2008
Attachments	12005-00151OpposersMotionforJudgment.pdf ( 3 pages )(86059 bytes )

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

V&V SUPREMO FOODS, INC.  Opposer,	) ) )
v.  CORPORATIVE BRANDINGS and INVESTMENTS, S.A. de C.V.,  Applicant.	Opposition No. 91/176,139 Application Serial No. 78/377,220 Mark: RANCHERITA  Output

## **OPPOSER'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT**

Pursuant to Trademark Rule 2.120(g)(1), 37 C.F.R. § 2.120(g)(1), and the Board's Order dated December 4, 2007, Opposer, V&V Supremo Foods, Inc. ("Opposer"), hereby files its Motion for Judgment against Applicant Corporative Brandings and Investments, S.A. de C.V. ("Applicant").

On December 4, 2007, the Board granted Opposer's Motion to Compel Applicant's Responses to Document Requests and Interrogatories ("Motion to Compel"). This Motion to Compel was based upon Applicant's failure to respond, object, or otherwise reply to Opposer's discovery requests. The Board's December 4 Order, among other things, allowed Applicant forty days to serve responses to Opposer's first set of interrogatories and first set of document requests. As of the filing date of this Motion, Applicant has failed to respond. The Board's December 4 Order stated, "If applicant fails to fully comply with this order, [Opposer's] remedy lies in a motion for judgment pursuant to Trademark Rule 2.120(g)(1)."

Based on the foregoing, Opposer respectfully requests the Board to enter judgment against Applicant and refuse registration of the RANCHERITA mark (Ser. No. 78/377,220). See T.B.M.P. § 411.04; Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 37(b)(2)(A)(vi); Baron Philippe de Rothschild S.A. v. Styl-Rite Optical Mfg. Co., 55 U.S.P.Q.2d 1848, 1854 (T.T.A.B. 2000) (entering judgment against applicant and refusing registration of the disputed mark).

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 15, 2008

By:

Jeffery A. Handelman Thomas M. Williams Michael K. Hendershot BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60611

ATTORNEYS FOR OPPOSER V&V SUPREMO FOODS, INC.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing

Opposer's Motion for Judgment was served on Applicant's counsel by electronic mail and
first class mail, postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

John S. Egbert Kevin Wilson Egbert Law Offices 412 Main Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor Houston, Texas 77002 (kwilson@egbertlawoffices.com)

on January 15, 2008.

Then Mille